

## **Minutes of Neighbourhood Plan Meeting of 2 October 2018 at 6.30pm**

### **Present**

Cee Martin  
Cindy Andrews  
Bill Glasper  
John Martin  
Mick Burton  
Valerie Hawes  
Gary Kirk – Your Locale  
Paul Green  
Sue Moriarty  
Adrian Hessey

Clerk to the Council – Lesley Sanderson

### **Apologies**

Jonny Grudgings  
Tim Gascoigne  
Jo O'Neill  
Ann Parrott

### **Welcomes and apologies**

Bill Glasper welcomed everyone and took the apologies.

Minutes of meeting held on 21 August 2018

The minutes of the meeting were agreed to be a true and accurate record.

Wheelbarrow Race Consultation event – review

The event had been very successful with lots of visitors and positive feedback. Gary would bring the displays from the boards to the office so that they could be displayed.

He would also make it available electronically as a pdf's for information on the website. It was suggested the changes were highlighted through the documents. Gary to send clerk the pdfs and deliver the hard copies.

A comment was made about trying to make the traffic one way around the village, advised that LCC were totally against this as it was safer to leave the traffic two way as it helped regulate the speed of motorists. A one-way system would cause a 15% increase in the speed of the traffic.

First draft Neighbourhood Plan Review – Discussion

Praise was given to the changes made.

A residential site allocation for around 10 homes had been made which had been identified through a sustainable site appraisal process, updating the 10 sites previously assessed. The final draft of the appraisal would be available with the pre-submission documentation.

The government had increased the power of plans where sites are allocated for development. This helps to protect against further inappropriate and unwanted development.

A settlement boundary had been added also which limited the areas for development. The local plan had originally had these but they had been taken out in the latest Local Plan so felt it essential to include it in Neighbourhood Plan. It was agreed that this would be called 'Settlement Boundary' in the new Neighbourhood Plan to make it more positively worded. Advised that changes needed to be highlighted to focus the examiner on the issues to be re-examined.

Environmental – John congratulated all of those who had taken part in the survey of fields – a big thank you to all.

There was an update and the Church Yard had been added to the Local Green Space, the Local Green Space by the chemists had also been retained. Comment was made to the past/current maps etc It was requested that correct map should be included in this version.

#### Open Green Space

The OSSR inventory survey had brought up a few extra sites including the green space next to Brookfields. The wildlife corridor had been brought back in as it was felt that there was more robust evidence available now to support it.

The bat and species surveys was still needed to be added.

It has been identified that the Crematorium has been evidence based to be more biodiverse than the field that it was built on.

Views and Skylines included this time.

#### Historic Landscape Character

The church site was included in this as the setting for the church had historic context. The letter received from Historic England for the Bovis Homes planning application to be used as an appendix to support this.

The inventory required tidying up and made into an index of it's own. It was advised that it was essential that all supporting evidence was included.

The key issue was housing.

Gary advised that he had sent the revised document to HDC for comment. A response had been received that evening.

The response summarised was

- a) Page 22 will check housing numbers per annum as the local plan had revised these however still being determined.
- b) Housing site evaluation to be included.
- c) Limits to Development should be positively worded. Settlement Boundary should be used rather than Limits.....
- d) Addition of Local Green Space, rationale should be included.
- e) Map quality to be improved. To be saved through high quality images.
- f) GG15 – Security lighting requested, HDC that it should be taken out of plan but included as a community action. John commented that this was put in at the request of the Wildlife Trust. It was hoped that it could be included so that it could become a planning consent issue.
- g) The mapping of the Wildlife corridor was questioned, and the group were advised of the index codes and because of the size of the map the lines were not precise.

- h) GG17 Needs to be more precise about the intrinsic merits of the identified features, GG19 this to be taken out and Gary to study the wording on views.

It was felt that the nearly designated footpath that has been used for over 20 years should be included also the Glen Oaks Footpath. This should be perhaps designated as a “much-used informal footway” that the Parish Council have supported the formal designation of – Page 7

Parking – Gary advised that examiners are reluctant to increase the car parking provision without strong evidence. Kibworth however had included this and it had been passed examination. It was proposed that parking numbers should be added along with photographs perhaps along Devana way showing the parking problems. Clerk to arrange photographs.

#### 5. Approval & Timetables

Advised that it was felt that the group were on track and Gary would like to go for pre-submission consultation if the group agree to the changes made that evening. It was proposed as the revised version had been sent to all councillors, the notes of the meeting would reflect any changes to be made and the council could base their decision according to this at their meeting on Tuesday 9<sup>th</sup> October 2018. Notes/Minutes to be circulated immediately written.

It was hoped that the pre-submission consultation could then be completed before Christmas and the group could then meet again to review any changes the consultation had requested. It could then go to HDC for verification and for examination. It was hoped to obtain the same examiner as previously had for ease.

Funding – there was still monies available to complete the process from Locailty and with the groups support Gary would like to prepare a funding bid to finance the remainder of the process.

Timetable – A meeting would be required early in the new year to review consultation responses – Tuesday 15<sup>th</sup> January 2019 at 6.30pm.

This would allow time for changes to go to the Parish Council at the meeting scheduled for 12<sup>th</sup> February 2019.

The Meeting closed at 7.43pm

**NEXT MEETING TUESDAY 15<sup>th</sup> JANUARY At 6.30pm At the PARISH PFFICE**