

Minutes of Neighbourhood Plan Meeting of 4th April 2019 at 6.00pm

Present

Cindy Andrews
Bill Glasper
John Martin
Mick Burton
Gary Kirk – Your Locale
Ann Parrott
Cee Martin
Doug Jackson (6.30pm)

Clerk to the Council – Lesley Sanderson

Apologies

Jonny Grudgings
Valerie Hawes
Paul Green
Sue Moriarty
Jo O’Neill

1. Welcomes and apologies

Bill Glasper welcomed everyone and took the apologies.

2. Declarations of interest.

None

3. Minutes of meeting held on 11 February

The minutes of the meeting were agreed to be a true and accurate record.
There were no matters arising from these minutes.

4 Regulation 14 late comments to be considered.

Further comments had been received following the meeting of 11th February and it was felt that these should be considered before submitting the plan to HDC.

These had been circulated and would be added to the documents as “late comments received” they should be discussed,

The comments were two points, one a clarification and the other a confirmation. These would then need to go to Full council for confirmation of amendments.

Footpaths

The map showed the correct footpaths but the wording did not confirm this. After discussion an amendment was agreed that would point out the aspiring footpath (A1) to be made.

Views

Much discussion took place regarding adding views across a site off Oaks Road that had outlying planning permission.

It was felt if these views were included it could lead the whole policy being removed by the Examiner as it could be seen as an attempt to block development of the site.

Views were discussed at length and it was agreed that a line no 7 should be added showing the view from the Sewage Works to Glen Oaks.

A further View “8” from the footpath A1 should be added. In order to accommodate this change it was agreed to soften the policy to say ‘Development proposals must consider, assess and address, with mitigation where appropriate, their impact on the important views listed below and illustrated in figure 14 (below) which help to define the rural setting and character of Great Glen’.

This was considered acceptable to the group.

GG 19 to be amended

4. Requirements for Referendum.

Planning Practice Guidance states:

How is the decision on whether modifications change the nature of the plan made?

Whether modifications change the nature of the plan is a decision for the independent examiner. The examiner will consider the nature of the existing plan, alongside representations and the statements on the matter made by the qualifying body and the local planning authority.

Neighbourhood plans can shape and direct sustainable development in their area. See [National Planning policy Framework](#). If the original plan primarily directs growth, for example through allocating sites for development, then allocating new sites for development would be unlikely to change the nature of the plan.

If the original plan primarily shapes growth, for example through design policies, then modifications seeking to take forward these policies through design codes would be unlikely to change the nature of the plan.

We agreed that we would argue that a Referendum was NOT needed and Gary supplied the words to demonstrate this.

The Meeting closed at 7.00pm

8. Future Meetings

No future meeting should be required.